Dear Jim,

2/19/85

Glad the last batch of stuff disclosed to "ark Allen came today because it is a rocky one, beautiful and I'd like to be outside but I do not dare. So I've gone over it and will comment separately. (I had to stand in the bank this morning and while it was not for long I felt like I was close to passing out by the time I left and it hasn't improved much.)

I think there is only one exception to the rule that I'm filing these copies of what was disclosed to Mark separately and identified as disclosed to him. However, there is nothing by which I can identify this batch, which I identify to you with a copy of the first page with your note. So I'm keeping it, if we have to refer to it again, in a folder identified as Ticklers, FBIHQ, to "ark Allen, and in that file cabinet.

Some may be of use to "ark "ynch in FOIA or other litigation against the FBI so I'm sending him some copies.

In general I believe that this alone, without previous similar disclosures, is enough for any litigant to go back to court on "new evidence" where a factor in the litigation was, like Phillips' and other attestations in mine, that ticklers lasted but a short time and then were routinely destroyed.

Thanks and best,